

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 47

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 19b-4File No.* SR - 2011 - * 044
Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)Proposed Rule Change by Financial Industry Regulatory Authority
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934Initial * ☒ Amendment * ☐ Withdrawal ☐Section 19(b)(2) * ☒ Section 19(b)(3)(A) * ☐ Section 19(b)(3)(B) * ☐

Rule

Pilot ☐ Extension of Time Period
for Commission Action * ☐ Date Expires * ☐ 19b-4(f)(1) ☐ 19b-4(f)(4)
☐ 19b-4(f)(2) ☐ 19b-4(f)(5)
☐ 19b-4(f)(3) ☐ 19b-4(f)(6)Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document
☐Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document
☐**Description**

Provide a brief description of the proposed rule change (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Proposed Rule Change to Amend FINRA's Code of Procedure

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change.

First Name * Matthew Last Name * Vitek
Title * Counsel
E-mail * matthew.vitek@finra.org
Telephone * (202) 728-8156 Fax (202) 728-8264**Signature**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized officer.

Date 11/08/2011

By Patrice Gliniecki
(Name *)

Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel

(Title *)

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock
this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical
signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Patrice Gliniecki,

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information (required)

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change (required)

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

☐

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

☐

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

[Add](#) [Remove](#) [View](#)

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) a proposed rule change to amend FINRA’s Code of Procedure that includes: (1) allowing service of a complaint (and notices of certain expedited proceedings) on counsel or another person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service; (2) permitting electronic filing of papers with an adjudicator; (3) decreasing the number of copies required to be filed with the adjudicator; (4) giving counsel to the National Adjudicatory Council (“NAC”) authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC; (5) requiring an attorney seeking to withdraw from a disciplinary case to file a motion before withdrawal would be approved; (6) adding an additional, permissive subject for a pre-hearing conference; (7) allowing FINRA staff to set the rate for copies; (8) allowing Hearing Officers to manage the parties’ pre-hearing submissions to reduce and eliminate duplicative filings; (9) giving Hearing Panels and the NAC additional flexibility as to required statements in decisions; (10) clarifying that the Review Subcommittee may review certain default decisions; (11) allowing an adjudicator to cancel a previously scheduled oral argument; (12) clarifying the procedure for when an appealing party does not participate in a disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel; (13) allowing a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding to extend time limits for the filing of any papers without consent of all the parties; and (14) allowing

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion in an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding.

The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

At its meeting on April 14, 2011, the FINRA Board of Governors authorized the filing of the proposed rule change with the SEC. No other action by FINRA is necessary for the filing of the proposed rule change.

FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Notice to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the Regulatory Notice announcing Commission approval. Once effective, the proposed rules will apply immediately to all new and pending matters governed by FINRA's Code of Procedure.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

FINRA's Code of Procedure (the "Code") contains detailed provisions for initiating and adjudicating various types of actions, including disciplinary, eligibility, expedited, and cease and desist proceedings.² Since implementation on August 7, 1997, FINRA staff has obtained significant experience using the Code, and believes that certain Code provisions should be amended to improve workability, provide more clarity and reduce unnecessary duplication. The proposed rule change, as described below, seeks

² The FINRA Rule 9000 Series is FINRA's Code of Procedure.

generally to improve the efficient administration of FINRA proceedings, is procedural in nature, and will not affect any party's substantive rights under FINRA rules.

Service of Complaint

FINRA Rule 9131(a) requires a complaint to be served on each party by the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation. Currently, the rule does not explicitly permit FINRA staff to serve the complaint on a party's counsel. Many parties, however, are represented by counsel when a complaint is ready to be served. FINRA proposes to accommodate respondents who have retained counsel and have authorized them to accept service. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9131(a) to clarify that only the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation can serve a complaint and to allow for service on counsel or another person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service of the complaint. FINRA also seeks to address an issue created by the Rules of Professional Conduct in many states, which require that, once a person retains an attorney, unless the attorney specifically provides otherwise, all communications be directed to counsel.³ The proposal harmonizes FINRA's rules with these state bar rules.

FINRA Rule 9131(a) also provides that a party initiating a proceeding shall serve a document initiating a proceeding on the other party. FINRA proposes to delete this provision because it has been superseded by other FINRA rules and no longer plays a

³ See, e.g., American Bar Association Model Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 (Communication with Person Represented by Counsel) (ABA Rule 4.2). ABA Rule 4.2 provides that, "[i]n representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by law or a court order." Many states have rules regarding communication with a person represented by counsel that are based on ABA Rule 4.2.

role in expedited proceedings.⁴ Further, the Code does not allow a party other than FINRA to initiate a proceeding.⁵

The FINRA Rule 9550 Series provides procedures for initiating and adjudicating expedited proceedings. The service provisions contained in the rules under the Rule 9550 Series are similar to FINRA Rule 9131(a) in that they require serving notice on a member, person associated with a member or person subject to FINRA's jurisdiction, but do not discuss service on counsel. For the reasons set forth above, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rules 9551(b), 9552(b), 9553(b), 9554(b), 9555(b) and 9556(b) to allow for service on counsel or other person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service of a notice.

Filing of Papers with Adjudicator

FINRA Rule 9135(a) prescribes the timing for the filing of papers with an adjudicator. Complaints are deemed timely filed upon mailing or delivery to the Office of Hearing Officers. Other papers required to be filed are deemed timely if, on the same day such papers are served, they are also hand-delivered, mailed via U.S. Postal service first class mail or sent by courier to FINRA. In recognition of the increased use of electronic mail, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9135(a) to allow the use of

⁴ The references to a document initiating a proceeding have been rendered unnecessary in FINRA Rule 9131 because each of FINRA's expedited proceedings has a specific rule that typically states that FINRA staff will serve the FINRA member or associated person with a notice regarding the expedited proceeding. See FINRA Rules 9551(b), 9552(b), 9553(b), 9554(b), 9555(b), 9556(b), 9557(b) and 9558(b).

⁵ The concept of allowing an aggrieved person to initiate an NASD disciplinary proceeding was eliminated, with Commission approval, in 1997. See Russell A. Simpson, 53 S.E.C. 1042, 1044 n.3, 1998 SEC LEXIS 2503, at *3 n.3 (1998).

electronic mail as another delivery method for complaints and other papers required to be filed with an adjudicator.

FINRA Rule 9136 establishes the form for papers filed in connection with a disciplinary proceeding or a review of a disciplinary proceeding. FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9136(a)(5) to require such papers to contain single-spaced footnotes. Additionally, to reduce duplication, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9136(c) by decreasing the number of copies required to be filed with the adjudicator from three to one, unless otherwise ordered. Finally, the proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9313 by giving counsel to the NAC the authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC. The proposed rule change is consistent with counsel to the NAC's other ministerial and administrative responsibilities under the rule, and it furthers the efficient administration of review proceedings.

Motion to Withdraw by Attorney

FINRA Rule 9142 requires an attorney for a party or person authorized to represent others seeking to withdraw to give notice setting forth good cause for the withdrawal at least 30 days prior to withdrawal, unless circumstances do not permit. It has been FINRA staff's experience that, on occasion, an attorney believes that his or her withdrawal is effective immediately upon filing the notice, and the attorney does not provide any contact information for the party no longer being represented. To address these concerns, and to lessen the potential disruption to parties and pending proceedings caused by the withdrawal of counsel, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9142 to require an attorney for a party (or person authorized to represent others by FINRA Rule

9141) seeking to withdraw to file a motion that sets forth the good cause for withdrawal and contains the contact information of the party no longer being represented.

Subjects Discussed at Pre-Hearing Conference

FINRA Rule 9241(c) delineates the subjects that the Hearing Officer, in a pre-hearing conference, may consider and act upon. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9241 by adding an additional, permissive subject for a pre-hearing conference: designation of relevant portions of transcripts from investigative testimony or other proceedings and the inclusion of an index for such testimony. It has been FINRA staff's experience that parties sometimes introduce voluminous testimonial transcripts into evidence, without specifying the particular sections of such transcripts that are relevant to the proceeding and without an index. The proposed rule change promotes efficiency by bringing into focus the relevant portions of testimonial transcripts.

Fees for Copying Costs during Discovery

FINRA Rule 9251(f) allows a respondent to obtain a photocopy of all documents made available for inspection by the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation. Unless otherwise ordered, charges for copies made at the request of a respondent shall be at a rate to be established by the Board of FINRA or FINRA Regulation. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9251(f) to identify FINRA staff as setting the rate for copies. Copying costs are based on rates charged by local copying vendors in the area where FINRA maintains the documents. FINRA staff is familiar with these copying rates and will base the rates accordingly.

Submission of Evidence

FINRA Rule 9261(a) addresses pre-hearing disclosures and requires each party to submit to all other parties and to the Hearing Officer copies of documentary exhibits the parties intend to introduce and the names of the witnesses each party intends to present at a hearing. Currently, pre-hearing, proposed documentary evidence submitted to the Hearing Officer becomes part of the record. At the hearing, all of the documents that are admitted into evidence also become part of the record.⁶ This results in the record containing a duplicate of nearly every document that was admitted into evidence. When a Hearing Panel decision is appealed to the NAC, FINRA staff makes several copies of the record. The unnecessary duplication of pre-hearing exhibits is therefore multiplied on appeal.

The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9261(a) to establish that documentary evidence submitted prior to a hearing shall not become part of the record, unless a Hearing Officer, Hearing Panel, or Extended Hearing Panel orders that it will be. Further, the Hearing Officer may order each party – who will continue to exchange proposed documentary evidence with other parties – to refrain from submitting its proposed documentary evidence to the Hearing Officer. The proposed amendment reduces duplication of documents in the record and will prevent the copying of thousands of pages of pre-hearing exhibits each year.

Hearing Panel and NAC Decisions

FINRA Rules 9268(b)(1) and 9349(b)(1) require that a statement describing the investigative or other origin of the disciplinary proceeding be included in the contents of a decision of the Hearing Panel or the NAC, respectively. The proposed rule change

⁶ See FINRA Rule 9267(a)(3).

amends this provision to require such statement only if it is not otherwise contained in the record. The proposed amendment reduces unnecessary statements from disciplinary decisions.

Review Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9312(a)(2) requires that if a default decision issued pursuant to FINRA Rule 9269 is called for review by the General Counsel within 25 days after the date of service of the decision, such decision shall be reviewed by the NAC. FINRA proposes to amend the rule to clarify that the Review Subcommittee also may review such decisions.⁷ The scope of review of default decisions is generally limited to address omissions or apparent mistakes in default decisions. The proposed rule change – in appropriate cases – allows for a speedier, more efficient review process, as the Review Subcommittee will typically be able to review a default decision and issue a short remand order more expeditiously than the NAC.

Oral Argument in Review of Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9341(a) establishes the procedure for a party requesting an oral argument before the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee.⁸ Currently, once oral argument is requested, there is no mechanism to cancel such argument if a respondent abandons his or her request for oral argument subsequent to filing a brief but prior to the date set for oral argument. The proposed rule change

⁷ The Review Subcommittee is authorized to determine whether disciplinary decisions should be called for review by the NAC. See FINRA Regulation By-Laws, Article V, Section 5.13.

⁸ Upon consideration of the volume and complexity of the certified record, the NAC or the Review Subcommittee may appoint an Extended Proceeding Committee. See FINRA Rule 9331(a)(2).

allows the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee, to cancel in writing a previously scheduled oral argument, and decide the matter based on the briefs and the record without oral argument, if the adjudicator finds good cause due to a respondent abandoning his or her prior request, or similar unreasonable lack of availability. For example, a respondent may be viewed as abandoning a previously scheduled oral argument if the adjudicator has not received a response after attempting to confirm the attendance of the respondent. If the adjudicator cancels an oral argument but a respondent believes this action was taken in error, a respondent may file a motion seeking to reschedule oral argument. The proposed rule change promotes efficiency and conserves resources that would have been expended in traveling to an oral argument when a respondent does not attend.

Failure to Participate in Disciplinary Proceeding

FINRA Rule 9344(a) gives the NAC or the Review Subcommittee discretion on how to proceed when an appealing party did not participate in the disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel.⁹ The proposed rule change eliminates the first sentence of the rule because that sentence merely introduces the concept that the NAC could either remand an appeal from a default decision or consider the appeal without a remand.¹⁰ The proposal specifies that the NAC

⁹ Upon consideration of the complexity of the issues involved, the probable length of the hearing, or other material factors, the Chief Hearing Officer may determine that a matter shall be designated an Extended Hearing, and such matter shall be considered by an Extended Hearing Panel. See FINRA Rule 9231(c).

¹⁰ The proposed rule change also removes the potentially confusing language that the NAC would dismiss an appeal and remand the matter. In practice, when the NAC has remanded a default decision to a Hearing Officer, for example, the NAC remand order does not also state that the appeal is dismissed.

or the Review Subcommittee will remand the disciplinary proceeding with instructions when a party shows good cause for failing to participate below. If, on the other hand, a party does not show good cause, the Subcommittee or other adjudicator will decide the case based on the briefs and the record and without oral argument. By amending this section, FINRA intends to make the rule easier to understand.

The proposed rule change substitutes the word “shall” for “may” when describing the NAC’s action when a party shows good cause because the applicable remedy in this circumstance is always a remand with instructions. Default decisions against a respondent allow the Hearing Officer to deem the allegations in the complaint admitted, a practice that is widely followed in FINRA proceedings.¹¹ Consequently, when a party shows good cause, the NAC would find it impracticable to review the merits of the appeal because the NAC would have no record evidence to review regarding the substance of alleged violations. Given the state of the record, the NAC should order a remand with instructions when a respondent shows good cause for failing to participate below.

Filing of Papers in Eligibility Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9524(a)(5) gives a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding the ability, after obtaining consent of all the parties, to extend or shorten any time limits prescribed by the Code for the filing of any papers. The proposed rule change removes the consent requirement for any extension of such time limits to empower Hearing Panels

¹¹ See FINRA Rule 9269(a)(1).

with authority over such scheduling matters. This change makes eligibility proceedings consistent with disciplinary proceedings.¹²

Procedural Motions in Eligibility or Expedited Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9146(j)(3) requires that in the FINRA Rule 9500 Series, a motion shall be decided by an adjudicator. FINRA proposes to amend the rule by allowing Counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion made pursuant to an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding. This proposed rule change enables Counsel to the NAC to handle procedural motions in a more efficient and expeditious manner, and is similar to Counsel to the NAC's authority to dispose of procedural motions in disciplinary proceedings.¹³ Counsel will not be authorized to rule on dispositive motions.

As noted in Item 2 of this filing, FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Notice to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the Regulatory Notice announcing Commission approval. Once effective, the proposed rules will apply immediately to all new and pending matters governed by FINRA's Code of Procedure.

(b) Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(8) of the Act,¹⁴ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with

¹² See FINRA Rule 9322(a).

¹³ See FINRA Rule 9146(j)(2).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(8).

members, and Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,¹⁵ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA's Code has been used in hundreds of disciplinary cases since its adoption and has provided fair procedures. It has allowed disciplinary cases to proceed in an orderly manner and thereby facilitated Hearing Panel and NAC decisions that, in turn, protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change will allow FINRA to continue to uphold the purposes of the Act by improving FINRA's case management of disciplinary cases, reducing costs, and promoting an effective disciplinary system.

First, FINRA believes that the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by improving the ability of adjudicators and their advisors to manage efficiently cases at both the trial level and on appeal. Several proposed revisions give specific authority to Hearing Officers, the Review Subcommittee, and counsel to the NAC such as: (1) adding an additional subject at a pre-hearing conference that brings into focus the relevant portions of testimonial transcripts; (2) clarifying that the Review Subcommittee may review certain default decisions rather than the NAC; (3) giving counsel to the NAC authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC; (4) allowing counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion made in an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding; (5) allowing a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding to extend time limits for the filing of any papers; and (6) allowing FINRA staff to determine copying costs. These improvements to and confirmations of

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

case management authority will allow adjudicators and advisors to follow fair procedures by applying appropriate rules to a suitable case.

Second, the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by reducing costs, conserving resources, and making participation in the disciplinary process somewhat easier. By decreasing the number of copies that the parties must file with the adjudicator, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rule 9136(e) will reduce costs to the parties. From the perspective of FINRA and its adjudicators, moreover, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rule 9261(a) will prevent the inclusion in the record of hundreds of duplicate exhibits that are otherwise contained in the record. Moreover, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rules 9268(b)(1) and 9349(b)(1) reduces duplication by requiring a statement describing the origin of a disciplinary proceeding be included only if it is not otherwise contained in the record. And by authorizing an adjudicator to cancel a previously scheduled oral argument that has been abandoned by a respondent, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rule 9341(a) prevents unnecessary travel by adjudicators and FINRA staff. These latter revisions will reduce FINRA's costs.

Another aspect of the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by allowing the parties to comply with the Code more easily. Parties will be allowed to, but not required to, file papers with an adjudicator by e-mail. Respondents also will have the option of authorizing their attorney or representative to accept service of a complaint and notices of certain expedited proceedings. Additionally, the proposed rule change promotes clarity by stating more directly the process for a party who seeks to appeal from a default decision.

The proposed rule change also reserves an adjudicator's ability to customize an order to promote fairness, based on the facts of that case. For example, a Hearing Officer may order that a particular pre-hearing submission be included in the record pursuant to FINRA Rule 9261(a), which could be based on fairness concerns.

Third, the proposed rule change protects the public interest by requiring an attorney seeking to withdraw from a disciplinary case to file a motion (which will provide contact information for the party previously represented) before withdrawal would be approved. The proposed revision seeks to reduce any uncertainty as to whether a respondent is represented by an attorney. By requiring an attorney to file a motion for withdrawal, adjudicators and the parties will know that an attorney continues to represent the respondent until the motion is granted. This proposed revision promotes an effective disciplinary system in which cases can proceed to a hearing. By furthering an effective disciplinary system, the proposed rule change is consistent with the public interest in imposing disciplinary sanctions on FINRA firms and associated persons who violate FINRA Rules or the federal securities laws.

For each of these reasons, FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will improve the process and procedures that govern the adjudication of disciplinary cases and expedited proceedings.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

5. **Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others**

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

6. **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action**

FINRA does not consent at this time to an extension of the time period for Commission action specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.¹⁶

7. **Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)**

Not applicable.

8. **Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission**

Not applicable.

9. **Exhibits**

Exhibit 1. Completed notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Text of proposed rule change.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-FINRA-2011-044)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to FINRA's Code of Procedure

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on , Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by FINRA. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA's Code of Procedure that includes: (1) allowing service of a complaint (and notices of certain expedited proceedings) on counsel or another person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service; (2) permitting electronic filing of papers with an adjudicator; (3) decreasing the number of copies required to be filed with the adjudicator; (4) giving counsel to the National Adjudicatory Council ("NAC") authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC; (5) requiring an attorney seeking to withdraw from a disciplinary case to file a motion before withdrawal would be

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

approved; (6) adding an additional, permissive subject for a pre-hearing conference; (7) allowing FINRA staff to set the rate for copies; (8) allowing Hearing Officers to manage the parties' pre-hearing submissions to reduce and eliminate duplicative filings; (9) giving Hearing Panels and the NAC additional flexibility as to required statements in decisions; (10) clarifying that the Review Subcommittee may review certain default decisions; (11) allowing an adjudicator to cancel a previously scheduled oral argument; (12) clarifying the procedure for when an appealing party does not participate in a disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel; (13) allowing a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding to extend time limits for the filing of any papers without consent of all the parties; and (14) allowing counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion in an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on FINRA's website at <http://www.finra.org>, at the principal office of FINRA and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, FINRA included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. FINRA has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

FINRA's Code of Procedure (the "Code") contains detailed provisions for initiating and adjudicating various types of actions, including disciplinary, eligibility, expedited, and cease and desist proceedings.³ Since implementation on August 7, 1997, FINRA staff has obtained significant experience using the Code, and believes that certain Code provisions should be amended to improve workability, provide more clarity and reduce unnecessary duplication. The proposed rule change, as described below, seeks generally to improve the efficient administration of FINRA proceedings, is procedural in nature, and will not affect any party's substantive rights under FINRA rules.

Service of Complaint

FINRA Rule 9131(a) requires a complaint to be served on each party by the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation. Currently, the rule does not explicitly permit FINRA staff to serve the complaint on a party's counsel. Many parties, however, are represented by counsel when a complaint is ready to be served. FINRA proposes to accommodate respondents who have retained counsel and have authorized them to accept service. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9131(a) to clarify that only the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation can serve a complaint and to allow for service on counsel or another person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service of the complaint. FINRA also seeks to address an issue created by the Rules of Professional Conduct in many states, which require that, once a person retains an attorney, unless the

³ The FINRA Rule 9000 Series is FINRA's Code of Procedure.

attorney specifically provides otherwise, all communications be directed to counsel.⁴ The proposal harmonizes FINRA's rules with these state bar rules.

FINRA Rule 9131(a) also provides that a party initiating a proceeding shall serve a document initiating a proceeding on the other party. FINRA proposes to delete this provision because it has been superseded by other FINRA rules and no longer plays a role in expedited proceedings.⁵ Further, the Code does not allow a party other than FINRA to initiate a proceeding.⁶

The FINRA Rule 9550 Series provides procedures for initiating and adjudicating expedited proceedings. The service provisions contained in the rules under the Rule 9550 Series are similar to FINRA Rule 9131(a) in that they require serving notice on a member, person associated with a member or person subject to FINRA's jurisdiction, but do not discuss service on counsel. For the reasons set forth above, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rules 9551(b), 9552(b), 9553(b), 9554(b), 9555(b) and 9556(b) to

⁴ See, e.g., American Bar Association Model Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 (Communication with Person Represented by Counsel) (ABA Rule 4.2). ABA Rule 4.2 provides that, "[i]n representing a client, a lawyer shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with a person the lawyer knows to be represented by another lawyer in the matter, unless the lawyer has the consent of the other lawyer or is authorized to do so by law or a court order." Many states have rules regarding communication with a person represented by counsel that are based on ABA Rule 4.2.

⁵ The references to a document initiating a proceeding have been rendered unnecessary in FINRA Rule 9131 because each of FINRA's expedited proceedings has a specific rule that typically states that FINRA staff will serve the FINRA member or associated person with a notice regarding the expedited proceeding. See FINRA Rules 9551(b), 9552(b), 9553(b), 9554(b), 9555(b), 9556(b), 9557(b) and 9558(b).

⁶ The concept of allowing an aggrieved person to initiate an NASD disciplinary proceeding was eliminated, with Commission approval, in 1997. See Russell A. Simpson, 53 S.E.C. 1042, 1044 n.3, 1998 SEC LEXIS 2503, at *3 n.3 (1998).

allow for service on counsel or other person authorized to represent others when such representative agrees to accept service of a notice.

Filing of Papers with Adjudicator

FINRA Rule 9135(a) prescribes the timing for the filing of papers with an adjudicator. Complaints are deemed timely filed upon mailing or delivery to the Office of Hearing Officers. Other papers required to be filed are deemed timely if, on the same day such papers are served, they are also hand-delivered, mailed via U.S. Postal service first class mail or sent by courier to FINRA. In recognition of the increased use of electronic mail, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9135(a) to allow the use of electronic mail as another delivery method for complaints and other papers required to be filed with an adjudicator.

FINRA Rule 9136 establishes the form for papers filed in connection with a disciplinary proceeding or a review of a disciplinary proceeding. FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9136(a)(5) to require such papers to contain single-spaced footnotes. Additionally, to reduce duplication, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9136(c) by decreasing the number of copies required to be filed with the adjudicator from three to one, unless otherwise ordered. Finally, the proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9313 by giving counsel to the NAC the authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC. The proposed rule change is consistent with counsel to the NAC's other ministerial and administrative responsibilities under the rule, and it furthers the efficient administration of review proceedings.

Motion to Withdraw by Attorney

FINRA Rule 9142 requires an attorney for a party or person authorized to represent others seeking to withdraw to give notice setting forth good cause for the withdrawal at least 30 days prior to withdrawal, unless circumstances do not permit. It has been FINRA staff's experience that, on occasion, an attorney believes that his or her withdrawal is effective immediately upon filing the notice, and the attorney does not provide any contact information for the party no longer being represented. To address these concerns, and to lessen the potential disruption to parties and pending proceedings caused by the withdrawal of counsel, FINRA is proposing to amend FINRA Rule 9142 to require an attorney for a party (or person authorized to represent others by FINRA Rule 9141) seeking to withdraw to file a motion that sets forth the good cause for withdrawal and contains the contact information of the party no longer being represented.

Subjects Discussed at Pre-Hearing Conference

FINRA Rule 9241(c) delineates the subjects that the Hearing Officer, in a pre-hearing conference, may consider and act upon. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9241 by adding an additional, permissive subject for a pre-hearing conference: designation of relevant portions of transcripts from investigative testimony or other proceedings and the inclusion of an index for such testimony. It has been FINRA staff's experience that parties sometimes introduce voluminous testimonial transcripts into evidence, without specifying the particular sections of such transcripts that are relevant to the proceeding and without an index. The proposed rule change promotes efficiency by bringing into focus the relevant portions of testimonial transcripts.

Fees for Copying Costs during Discovery

FINRA Rule 9251(f) allows a respondent to obtain a photocopy of all documents made available for inspection by the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation. Unless otherwise ordered, charges for copies made at the request of a respondent shall be at a rate to be established by the Board of FINRA or FINRA Regulation. The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9251(f) to identify FINRA staff as setting the rate for copies. Copying costs are based on rates charged by local copying vendors in the area where FINRA maintains the documents. FINRA staff is familiar with these copying rates and will base the rates accordingly.

Submission of Evidence

FINRA Rule 9261(a) addresses pre-hearing disclosures and requires each party to submit to all other parties and to the Hearing Officer copies of documentary exhibits the parties intend to introduce and the names of the witnesses each party intends to present at a hearing. Currently, pre-hearing, proposed documentary evidence submitted to the Hearing Officer becomes part of the record. At the hearing, all of the documents that are admitted into evidence also become part of the record.⁷ This results in the record containing a duplicate of nearly every document that was admitted into evidence. When a Hearing Panel decision is appealed to the NAC, FINRA staff makes several copies of the record. The unnecessary duplication of pre-hearing exhibits is therefore multiplied on appeal.

The proposed rule change amends FINRA Rule 9261(a) to establish that documentary evidence submitted prior to a hearing shall not become part of the record,

⁷ See FINRA Rule 9267(a)(3).

unless a Hearing Officer, Hearing Panel, or Extended Hearing Panel orders that it will be. Further, the Hearing Officer may order each party – who will continue to exchange proposed documentary evidence with other parties – to refrain from submitting its proposed documentary evidence to the Hearing Officer. The proposed amendment reduces duplication of documents in the record and will prevent the copying of thousands of pages of pre-hearing exhibits each year.

Hearing Panel and NAC Decisions

FINRA Rules 9268(b)(1) and 9349(b)(1) require that a statement describing the investigative or other origin of the disciplinary proceeding be included in the contents of a decision of the Hearing Panel or the NAC, respectively. The proposed rule change amends this provision to require such statement only if it is not otherwise contained in the record. The proposed amendment reduces unnecessary statements from disciplinary decisions.

Review Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9312(a)(2) requires that if a default decision issued pursuant to FINRA Rule 9269 is called for review by the General Counsel within 25 days after the date of service of the decision, such decision shall be reviewed by the NAC. FINRA proposes to amend the rule to clarify that the Review Subcommittee also may review such decisions.⁸ The scope of review of default decisions is generally limited to address omissions or apparent mistakes in default decisions. The proposed rule change – in appropriate cases – allows for a speedier, more efficient review process, as the Review

⁸ The Review Subcommittee is authorized to determine whether disciplinary decisions should be called for review by the NAC. See FINRA Regulation By-Laws, Article V, Section 5.13.

Subcommittee will typically be able to review a default decision and issue a short remand order more expeditiously than the NAC.

Oral Argument in Review of Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9341(a) establishes the procedure for a party requesting an oral argument before the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee.⁹ Currently, once oral argument is requested, there is no mechanism to cancel such argument if a respondent abandons his or her request for oral argument subsequent to filing a brief but prior to the date set for oral argument. The proposed rule change allows the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee, to cancel in writing a previously scheduled oral argument, and decide the matter based on the briefs and the record without oral argument, if the adjudicator finds good cause due to a respondent abandoning his or her prior request, or similar unreasonable lack of availability. For example, a respondent may be viewed as abandoning a previously scheduled oral argument if the adjudicator has not received a response after attempting to confirm the attendance of the respondent. If the adjudicator cancels an oral argument but a respondent believes this action was taken in error, a respondent may file a motion seeking to reschedule oral argument. The proposed rule change promotes efficiency and conserves resources that would have been expended in traveling to an oral argument when a respondent does not attend.

⁹ Upon consideration of the volume and complexity of the certified record, the NAC or the Review Subcommittee may appoint an Extended Proceeding Committee. See FINRA Rule 9331(a)(2).

Failure to Participate in Disciplinary Proceeding

FINRA Rule 9344(a) gives the NAC or the Review Subcommittee discretion on how to proceed when an appealing party did not participate in the disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel.¹⁰ The proposed rule change eliminates the first sentence of the rule because that sentence merely introduces the concept that the NAC could either remand an appeal from a default decision or consider the appeal without a remand.¹¹ The proposal specifies that the NAC or the Review Subcommittee will remand the disciplinary proceeding with instructions when a party shows good cause for failing to participate below. If, on the other hand, a party does not show good cause, the Subcommittee or other adjudicator will decide the case based on the briefs and the record and without oral argument. By amending this section, FINRA intends to make the rule easier to understand.

The proposed rule change substitutes the word “shall” for “may” when describing the NAC’s action when a party shows good cause because the applicable remedy in this circumstance is always a remand with instructions. Default decisions against a respondent allow the Hearing Officer to deem the allegations in the complaint admitted, a practice that is widely followed in FINRA proceedings.¹² Consequently, when a party

¹⁰ Upon consideration of the complexity of the issues involved, the probable length of the hearing, or other material factors, the Chief Hearing Officer may determine that a matter shall be designated an Extended Hearing, and such matter shall be considered by an Extended Hearing Panel. See FINRA Rule 9231(c).

¹¹ The proposed rule change also removes the potentially confusing language that the NAC would dismiss an appeal and remand the matter. In practice, when the NAC has remanded a default decision to a Hearing Officer, for example, the NAC remand order does not also state that the appeal is dismissed.

¹² See FINRA Rule 9269(a)(1).

shows good cause, the NAC would find it impracticable to review the merits of the appeal because the NAC would have no record evidence to review regarding the substance of alleged violations. Given the state of the record, the NAC should order a remand with instructions when a respondent shows good cause for failing to participate below.

Filing of Papers in Eligibility Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9524(a)(5) gives a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding the ability, after obtaining consent of all the parties, to extend or shorten any time limits prescribed by the Code for the filing of any papers. The proposed rule change removes the consent requirement for any extension of such time limits to empower Hearing Panels with authority over such scheduling matters. This change makes eligibility proceedings consistent with disciplinary proceedings.¹³

Procedural Motions in Eligibility or Expedited Proceedings

FINRA Rule 9146(j)(3) requires that in the FINRA Rule 9500 Series, a motion shall be decided by an adjudicator. FINRA proposes to amend the rule by allowing Counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion made pursuant to an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding. This proposed rule change enables Counsel to the NAC to handle procedural motions in a more efficient and expeditious manner, and is similar to Counsel to the NAC's authority to dispose of procedural motions in disciplinary proceedings.¹⁴ Counsel will not be authorized to rule on dispositive motions.

¹³ See FINRA Rule 9322(a).

¹⁴ See FINRA Rule 9146(j)(2).

FINRA will announce the effective date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Notice to be published no later than 60 days following Commission approval. The effective date will be no later than 30 days following publication of the Regulatory Notice announcing Commission approval. Once effective, the proposed rules will apply immediately to all new and pending matters governed by FINRA's Code of Procedure.

2. Statutory Basis

FINRA believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 15A(b)(8) of the Act,¹⁵ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members, and Section 15A(b)(6) of the Act,¹⁶ which requires, among other things, that FINRA rules must be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. FINRA's Code has been used in hundreds of disciplinary cases since its adoption and has provided fair procedures. It has allowed disciplinary cases to proceed in an orderly manner and thereby facilitated Hearing Panel and NAC decisions that, in turn, protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change will allow FINRA to continue to uphold the purposes of the Act by improving FINRA's case management of disciplinary cases, reducing costs, and promoting an effective disciplinary system.

First, FINRA believes that the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by improving the ability of adjudicators and their advisors to manage efficiently cases at both the trial level and on appeal. Several proposed revisions give specific authority to

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(8).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78o-3(b)(6).

Hearing Officers, the Review Subcommittee, and counsel to the NAC such as: (1) adding an additional subject at a pre-hearing conference that brings into focus the relevant portions of testimonial transcripts; (2) clarifying that the Review Subcommittee may review certain default decisions rather than the NAC; (3) giving counsel to the NAC authority to set the specifications and the number of copies of all papers to be filed with the NAC; (4) allowing counsel to the NAC to decide a procedural motion made in an eligibility proceeding or an expedited proceeding; (5) allowing a Hearing Panel in an eligibility proceeding to extend time limits for the filing of any papers; and (6) allowing FINRA staff to determine copying costs. These improvements to and confirmations of case management authority will allow adjudicators and advisors to follow fair procedures by applying appropriate rules to a suitable case.

Second, the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by reducing costs, conserving resources, and making participation in the disciplinary process somewhat easier. By decreasing the number of copies that the parties must file with the adjudicator, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rule 9136(e) will reduce costs to the parties. From the perspective of FINRA and its adjudicators, moreover, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rule 9261(a) will prevent the inclusion in the record of hundreds of duplicate exhibits that are otherwise contained in the record. Moreover, the proposed rule change to FINRA Rules 9268(b)(1) and 9349(b)(1) reduces duplication by requiring a statement describing the origin of a disciplinary proceeding be included only if it is not otherwise contained in the record. And by authorizing an adjudicator to cancel a previously scheduled oral argument that has been abandoned by a respondent, the proposed rule

change to FINRA Rule 9341(a) prevents unnecessary travel by adjudicators and FINRA staff. These latter revisions will reduce FINRA's costs.

Another aspect of the proposed rule change promotes fair procedures by allowing the parties to comply with the Code more easily. Parties will be allowed to, but not required to, file papers with an adjudicator by e-mail. Respondents also will have the option of authorizing their attorney or representative to accept service of a complaint and notices of certain expedited proceedings. Additionally, the proposed rule change promotes clarity by stating more directly the process for a party who seeks to appeal from a default decision.

The proposed rule change also reserves an adjudicator's ability to customize an order to promote fairness, based on the facts of that case. For example, a Hearing Officer may order that a particular pre-hearing submission be included in the record pursuant to FINRA Rule 9261(a), which could be based on fairness concerns.

Third, the proposed rule change protects the public interest by requiring an attorney seeking to withdraw from a disciplinary case to file a motion (which will provide contact information for the party previously represented) before withdrawal would be approved. The proposed revision seeks to reduce any uncertainty as to whether a respondent is represented by an attorney. By requiring an attorney to file a motion for withdrawal, adjudicators and the parties will know that an attorney continues to represent the respondent until the motion is granted. This proposed revision promotes an effective disciplinary system in which cases can proceed to a hearing. By furthering an effective disciplinary system, the proposed rule change is consistent with the public interest in

imposing disciplinary sanctions on FINRA firms and associated persons who violate FINRA Rules or the federal securities laws.

For each of these reasons, FINRA believes that the proposed rule change will improve the process and procedures that govern the adjudication of disciplinary cases and expedited proceedings.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

FINRA does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-FINRA-2011-044 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2011-044. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street,

NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of FINRA. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-FINRA-2011-044 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Elizabeth M. Murphy

Secretary

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Exhibit 5

Below is the text of the proposed rule change. Proposed new language is underlined; proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * * * *

9000. CODE OF PROCEDURE

9100. APPLICATION AND PURPOSE

* * * * *

9130. Service; Filing of Papers

9131. Service of Complaint [and Document Initiating a Proceeding]

(a) Service on Each Party

Except as provided below, a [A] complaint shall be served on each Party by the Department of Enforcement or the Department of Market Regulation. [A document initiating a proceeding shall be served on each Party by the Party or person initiating such proceeding or his or her counsel or representative.] When counsel for a Party or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of the complaint, then the Department of Enforcement or Department of Market Regulation may serve the complaint on counsel for a Party or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134(a).

(b) through (c) No Change.

* * * * *

9135. Filing of Papers with Adjudicator: Procedure

(a) When to File

Papers that are required to be filed with an Adjudicator within a time limit specified by the Adjudicator or within a time limit set forth in the Rules shall be deemed

timely if received within the time limit, unless otherwise ordered by an Adjudicator, except complaints, which shall be deemed timely filed upon mailing, delivery by electronic mail, or delivery to the Office of Hearing Officers. Other papers that are required to be filed shall be deemed timely if, on the same day such papers are served, they are also hand-delivered, mailed via U.S. Postal service first class mail, delivered by electronic mail, or sent by courier to FINRA.

(b) through (c) No Change.

9136. Filing of Papers: Form

(a) Specifications

Papers filed in connection with any proceeding under the Rule 9200 Series and the Rule 9300 Series shall:

(1) through (4) No Change.

(5) be double-spaced, with [~~double~~]single-spaced footnotes and single-spaced indented quotations; and

(6) No Change.

(b) No Change.

(c) Number of Copies

A signed original and [~~three~~] one cop[ies]y of all papers shall be filed with the Adjudicator unless otherwise ordered.

(d) through (e) No Change.

* * * * *

9140. Proceedings

* * * * *

9142. Withdrawal by Attorney or Representative

An attorney for a Party or other person authorized to represent others by Rule 9141 seeking to withdraw his or her appearance shall file a motion to withdraw[may withdraw by giving notice to the Adjudicator]. The [notice] motion shall [be in writing,] set forth the good cause for withdrawal[,] and[,] state the name, current address, and telephone number of the Party no longer being represented [unless circumstances do not permit, be given at least 30 days prior to withdrawal].

* * * * *

9146. Motions

(a) through (i) No Change.

(j) Disposition of Procedural Motions; Disposition of Motions for Summary Disposition

(1) through (2) No Change.

(3) In the Rule 9500 Series, a motion shall be decided by an Adjudicator, except that a procedural motion made pursuant to the Rule 9520 Series or Rule 9559(q)(3) may be decided by Counsel to the National Adjudicatory Council.

(k) through (l) No Change.

* * * * *

9200. DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

* * * * *

9240. Pre-hearing Conference and Submission

9241. Pre-hearing Conference

(a) through (b) No Change.

(c) Subjects to be Discussed

At a pre-hearing conference, the Hearing Officer shall schedule an expedited proceeding as required by Rule 9290, and may consider and take action with respect to any or all of the following:

(1) through (8) No Change.

(9) production of documents as set forth in Rule 9251; [and]

(10) designation of relevant portions of transcripts from investigative testimony or other proceedings and the inclusion of an index for such testimony; and

(11) such other matters as may aid in the orderly and expeditious disposition of the proceeding.

(d) through (f) No Change.

* * * * *

9250. Discovery

9251. Inspection and Copying of Documents in Possession of Staff

(a) through (e) No Change.

(f) Copying Costs

A Respondent may obtain a photocopy of all Documents made available for inspection. A Respondent shall be responsible for the cost of photocopying. Unless otherwise ordered, charges for copies made at the request of a Respondent shall be at a rate to be established by [the] FINRA staff [or FINRA Regulation Board].

(g) No Change.

* * * * *

9260. Hearing and Decision

9261. Evidence and Procedure in Hearing

(a) Submission of Documentary Evidence and List of Witnesses Before Hearing

No later than ten days before the hearing, or at such earlier date as may be specified by the Hearing Officer, each Party shall submit to all other Parties and to the Hearing Officer copies of documentary evidence and the names of the witnesses each Party intends to present at the hearing. The documentary evidence submitted by the Parties prior to the hearing pursuant to this paragraph shall not become part of the record, unless the Hearing Officer, Hearing Panel, or Extended Hearing Panel orders some or all of it included pursuant to Rule 9267(a)(8). The Hearing Officer may order each Party to refrain from submitting its documentary evidence to the Hearing Officer.

(b) through (c) No Change.

* * * * *

9268. Decision of Hearing Panel or Extended Hearing Panel

(a) No Change.

(b) Contents of Decision

The decision shall include:

(1) a statement describing the investigative or other origin of the disciplinary proceeding, if not otherwise contained in the record;

(2) through (6) No Change.

(c) through (e) No Change.

* * * * *

**9300. REVIEW OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING BY NATIONAL
ADJUDICATORY COUNCIL AND FINRA BOARD; APPLICATION FOR SEC
REVIEW**

9310. Appeal to or Review by National Adjudicatory Council

* * * * *

9312. Review Proceeding Initiated By Adjudicatory Council

(a) Call for Review

(1) No Change.

(2) Rule 9269 Decision

A default decision issued pursuant to Rule 9269 shall be subject to a call for review by the General Counsel, on his or her own motion within 25 days after the date of service of the decision. If called for review, such decision shall be reviewed by the Review Subcommittee or the National Adjudicatory Council.

(b) through (d) No Change.

9313. Counsel to National Adjudicatory Council

(a) Authority

A Counsel to the National Adjudicatory Council shall have authority to take ministerial and administrative actions to further the efficient administration of a proceeding, including the authority to:

(1) through (5) No Change.

(6) rule on a motion by a Party to request to lengthen or shorten a period of time prescribed by the Code for the filing of any papers, or request that a hearing be postponed or adjourned under Rule 9322, except that a period may not

be shortened and a hearing may not be postponed or adjourned without the consent of the Parties; [and]

(7) create and maintain the official record of the disciplinary proceeding on appeal or review[.]; and

(8) establish the number of copies of all papers that shall be filed with the Adjudicator and specifications of such papers under Rule 9136.

(b) No Change.

* * * * *

9340. Proceedings

9341. Oral Argument

(a) Request for Oral Argument

A Party may request oral argument before the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee. Oral argument shall be requested in writing either in the Party's notice of appeal or cross-appeal or within 15 days after service of the National Adjudicatory Council's notice of review. Subject to the limitations of Rules 9342 and 9344, oral argument shall be granted if timely requested. The right to oral argument set forth in this Rule is unaffected by a Party's waiver of, or failure to request, a hearing pursuant to the Rule 9200 Series. The Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee, may cancel in writing a previously scheduled oral argument for good cause shown due to abandonment or similar unreasonable availability.

(b) through (f) No Change.

* * * * *

9344. Failure to Participate Below; Abandonment of Appeal

(a) Failure to Participate Below

[When an appealing Party did not participate in the disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel, but shows good cause for the failure to participate, the National Adjudicatory Council or the Review Subcommittee may dismiss the appeal and remand the matter for further proceedings, or may order that the appeal proceed.] If [the] an appealing Party did not participate in the disciplinary proceeding before a Hearing Officer, a Hearing Panel or, if applicable, an Extended Hearing Panel, and fails to show good cause for the failure to participate, the matter shall be considered by the Subcommittee or, if applicable, the Extended Proceeding Committee, and the National Adjudicatory Council on the basis of the record and other documents, as provided in Rules 9346 and 9347. [Alternatively,] When good cause is shown, the National Adjudicatory Council or Review Subcommittee [may] shall remand the disciplinary proceeding with instructions. For purposes of this paragraph, failure to participate shall include failure to file an answer or otherwise respond to a complaint, or failure to appear at a scheduled hearing, but shall not include failure to request a hearing pursuant to Rule 9221.

(b) No Change.

* * * * *

9349. National Adjudicatory Council Formal Consideration; Decision

(a) No Change.

(b) Contents of Decision

The decision shall include:

(1) a statement describing the investigative or other origin of the disciplinary proceeding, if not otherwise contained in the record;

(2) through (6) No Change.

(c) No Change.

* * * * *

9500. OTHER PROCEEDINGS

9520. Eligibility Proceedings

* * * * *

9524. National Adjudicatory Council Consideration

(a) Hearing Panel Consideration

(1) through (4) No Change.

(5) Extensions of Time, Postponements, and Adjournments

At any time prior to the issuance of the decision of the Hearing Panel, after obtaining consent of all the Parties, the Hearing Panel may [extend or] shorten any time limits prescribed by the Code for the filing of any papers and may postpone or adjourn any hearing. The Hearing Panel may extend any time limits prescribed by the Code for the filing of any papers.

(6) through (10) No Change.

(b) No Change.

* * * * *

9550. Expedited Proceedings

9551. Failure to Comply with Public Communication Standards

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice of Pre-Use Filing Requirement

Except as provided below, FINRA staff shall serve the member with such notice in accordance with Rule 9134. When counsel for the member or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of such notice, then FINRA staff may serve notice on counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134.

(c) through (g) No Change.

9552. Failure to Provide Information or Keep Information Current

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice of Suspension

Except as provided below, FINRA staff shall serve the member or person with such notice in accordance with Rule 9134. A copy of a notice under this Rule that is served on a person associated with a member also shall be served on such member. When counsel for the member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of such notice, then FINRA staff may serve notice on counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134.

(c) through (i) No Change.

9553. Failure to Pay FINRA Dues, Fees and Other Charges

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice of Suspension, Cancellation or Bar

Except as provided below, FINRA staff shall serve the member or person with such notice in accordance with Rule 9134. A copy of a notice under this Rule that is

served on a person associated with a member also shall be served on such member.

When counsel for the member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of such notice, then FINRA staff may serve notice on counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134.

(c) through (h) No Change.

9554. Failure to Comply with an Arbitration Award or Related Settlement or an Order of Restitution or Settlement Providing for Restitution

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice of Suspension or Cancellation

Except as provided below, FINRA staff shall serve the member or person with such notice in accordance with Rule 9134. A copy of a notice under this Rule that is served on a person associated with a member also shall be served on such member. When counsel for the member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of such notice, then FINRA staff may serve notice on counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134.

(c) through (h) No Change.

9555. Failure to Meet the Eligibility or Qualification Standards or Prerequisites for Access to Services

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice

Except as provided below, FINRA staff shall serve the member or person with such notice in accordance with Rule 9134. A copy of a notice under this Rule that is served on a person associated with a member also shall be served on such member.

When counsel for the member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service of such notice, then FINRA staff may serve notice on counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 as specified in Rule 9134.

(c) through (h) No Change.

9556. Failure to Comply with Temporary and Permanent Cease and Desist Orders

(a) No Change.

(b) Service of Notice

FINRA staff shall serve the member or person subject to a notice issued under this Rule (or upon counsel representing the member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141, when counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 agrees to accept service for the member or person) by facsimile, overnight courier or personal delivery. Papers served on a member, [or] person or counsel for such member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 by overnight courier or personal delivery shall conform to paragraphs (a)(1) and (3) and, with respect to a member or person, (b)(1) and (2) of Rule 9134. Papers served on a member by facsimile shall be sent to the facsimile number listed in the member's contact questionnaire submitted to FINRA pursuant to Article 4, Section III of the FINRA By-Laws, except that, if FINRA staff has actual knowledge that an entity's contact questionnaire facsimile number is out of date, duplicate copies shall be sent to the

entity by overnight courier or personal delivery in conformity with paragraphs (a)(1) and (3) and (b)(2) of Rule 9134. Papers served on a person by facsimile shall be sent to the person's last known facsimile number and shall also be served by either overnight courier or personal delivery in conformity with paragraphs (a)(1) and (3) and (b)(1) of Rule 9134. Papers served on counsel for a member or person, or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 by facsimile shall be sent to the facsimile number that counsel or other person authorized to represent others under Rule 9141 provides and shall also be served by either overnight courier or personal delivery in conformity with paragraphs (a)(1) and (3) of Rule 9134. A copy of a notice under this Rule that is served on a person associated with a member also shall be served on such member. Service is complete upon sending the notice by facsimile, mailing the notice by overnight courier or delivering it in person, except that, where duplicate service is required, service is complete upon sending the duplicate service.

(c) through (h) No Change.

* * * * *