Award FINRA Dispute Resolution Services

In the Matter of the Arbitration Between:

Claimant Case Number: 20-02684

Charles Boyles Lawless

VS.

Respondent Hearing Site: Chicago, Illinois

Mesirow Financial, Inc.

Awards are rendered by independent arbitrators who are chosen by the parties to issue final, binding decisions. FINRA makes available an arbitration forum—pursuant to rules approved by the SEC—but has no part in deciding the award.

Nature of the Dispute: Associated Person vs. Member

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES

For Claimant Charles Boyles Lawless ("Claimant"): Chelsea Masters, Esq. and Dochtor Kennedy, Esq., AdvisorLaw, LLC, Westminster, Colorado.

For Respondent Mesirow Financial, Inc. ("Respondent"): Jeffrey Levine, Esq. and Mary Jo Hayes, Mesirow Financial, Inc., Chicago, Illinois.

CASE INFORMATION

Statement of Claim filed on or about: August 19, 2020. Claimant signed the Submission Agreement: August 19, 2020.

Respondent did not file a Statement of Answer or sign the Submission Agreement.

CASE SUMMARY

In the Statement of Claim, Claimant asserted a claim seeking expungement of customer dispute information from registration records maintained by the Central Registration Depository ("CRD").

RELIEF REQUESTED

In the Statement of Claim, Claimant requested expungement of Occurrence Number 1359757, compensatory damages in the amount of \$1.00, and any and all other relief that the Arbitrator deems just and equitable.

At the hearing, Claimant withdrew the request for \$1.00 in damages.

FINRA Dispute Resolution Services Arbitration No. 20-02684 Award Page 2 of 5

OTHER ISSUES CONSIDERED AND DECIDED

The Arbitrator acknowledges having read the pleadings and other materials filed by Claimant.

Respondent did not file a properly executed Submission Agreement but is required to submit to arbitration pursuant to the Code of Arbitration Procedure ("Code") and is bound by the determination of the Arbitrator on all issues submitted.

On February 16, 2021, Claimant advised that the customers in Occurrence Number 1359757 ("Customers") were served at two mailing addresses with the Statement of Claim and notice of the date and time of the first scheduled expungement hearing ("1st Notices"). On February 22, 2021, Claimant filed an Affidavit confirming that the Customers were served with the 1st Notices. Claimant also filed copies of the FedEx tracking information available online for the 1st Notices.

On April 7, 2021, Claimant advised that the Customers were served at two mailing addresses with notice of the date and time of the rescheduled expungement hearing ("2nd Notices"). On April 12, 2021, Claimant filed an Affidavit confirming that the Customers were served with the 2nd Notices. Claimant also filed copies of the FedEx tracking information available online for the 2nd Notices.

On May 17, 2021, Claimant advised that the Customers were served at two mailing addresses with notice of the date and time of the second rescheduled expungement hearing ("3rd Notices"). On May 24, 2021, Claimant filed an Affidavit confirming that the Customers were served with the 3rd Notices. Claimant also filed copies of the FedEx tracking information available online for the 3rd Notices.

The Arbitrator conducted a recorded, telephonic hearing on July 1, 2021, so the parties could present oral argument and evidence on Claimant's request for expungement.

Respondent did not participate in the expungement hearing.

The Customers also did not participate in the expungement hearing. The Arbitrator found that the Customers had notice of the expungement request and hearing.

The Arbitrator reviewed Claimant's BrokerCheck® Report. The Arbitrator noted that a prior arbitration panel or court did not previously rule on expungement of the same occurrence in the CRD.

The Arbitrator also reviewed the settlement documentation related to Occurrence Number 1359757, considered the amount of payment made to any party to the settlement, and considered other relevant terms and conditions of the settlement. The Arbitrator noted that the settlement was not conditioned on any party to the settlement not opposing the expungement request and that Claimant contributed the entire settlement amount.

In recommending expungement, the Arbitrator relied upon the following documentary or other evidence: testimony of Claimant and Respondent's investigator and Claimant's exhibits.

FINRA Dispute Resolution Services Arbitration No. 20-02684 Award Page 3 of 5

AWARD

After considering the pleadings, the testimony and evidence presented at the expungement hearing, and any post-hearing submissions, the Arbitrator has decided in full and final resolution of the issues submitted for determination as follows:

1. The Arbitrator recommends the expungement of all references to Occurrence Number 1359757 from registration records maintained by the CRD for Claimant Charles Boyles Lawless (CRD Number 2808387) with the understanding that, pursuant to Notice to Members 04-16, Claimant Charles Boyles Lawless must obtain confirmation from a court of competent jurisdiction before the CRD will execute the expungement directive.

Unless specifically waived in writing by FINRA, parties seeking judicial confirmation of an arbitration award containing expungement relief must name FINRA as an additional party and serve FINRA with all appropriate documents.

Pursuant to Rule 13805 of the Code, the Arbitrator has made the following Rule 2080 affirmative findings of fact:

The claim, allegation, or information is factually impossible or clearly erroneous; and

The claim, allegation, or information is false.

The Arbitrator has made the above Rule 2080 findings based on the following reasons:

The Customers engaged in options trading against Claimant's advice and then failed to recognize the overall gains in their account—counting only losses on trades and not counting gains. Further, the allegedly unauthorized trade was authorized.

2. Any and all claims for relief not specifically addressed herein are denied.

FEES

Pursuant to the Code, the following fees are assessed:

Filing Fees

FINRA Dispute Resolution Services assessed a filing fee* for each claim:

Initial Claim Filing Fee

=\$ 50.00

Member Fees

Member fees are assessed to each member firm that is a party in these proceedings or to the member firm(s) that employed the associated person(s) at the time of the event(s) giving rise to the dispute. Accordingly, as a party, Respondent is assessed the following:

Member Surcharge =\$ 150.00

^{*}The filing fee is made up of a non-refundable and a refundable portion.

FINRA Dispute Resolution Services Arbitration No. 20-02684 Award Page 4 of 5

Postponement Fees

Postponements granted during these proceedings for which fees were assessed or waived:

March 30, 2021, postponement requested by Claimant	=\$	50.00
May 7, 2021, postponement requested by the parties	=\$	50.00
Total Postponement Fees	=\$	100.00

The Arbitrator has assessed \$50.00 of the postponement fees to Claimant.

The Arbitrator has assessed \$50.00 of the postponement fees to Respondent.

Hearing Session Fees and Assessments

The Arbitrator has assessed hearing session fees for each session conducted. A session is any meeting between the parties and the Arbitrator, including a pre-hearing conference with the Arbitrator, which lasts four (4) hours or less. Fees associated with these proceedings are:

` ' !	ng sessions @ \$50.00/session erences: December 22, 2020 May 13, 2021	1 session 1 session	=\$	100.00
One (1) hearing se Hearing:	ession on expungement request of July 1, 2021	@ \$50.00/session 1 session	=\$	50.00
Total Hearing Ses	sion Fees		=\$	150.00

The Arbitrator has assessed the total hearing session fees to Claimant.

All balances are payable to FINRA Dispute Resolution Services and are due upon receipt.

FINRA Dispute Resolution Services Arbitration No. 20-02684 Award Page 5 of 5

ARBITRATOR

Donald M. Thompson	-	Sole Public Arbitrator	
I, the undersigned Arbitrator, do he executed this instrument, which is	•	m the individual described here	in and who
Arbitrator's Signature			
Donald M. Thompson		07/09/2021	
Donald M. Thompson Sole Public Arbitrator		Signature Date	
Awards are rendered by independed binding decisions. FINRA makes at the SEC—but has no part in deciding	vailable an arbitrat	•	
July 09, 2021			

Date of Service (For FINRA Dispute Resolution Services use only)